

Mullins, Burton

From: Le, Mark
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2005 2:29 PM
To: Mullins, Burton
Subject: RE: ASN: 10676726

Yes, it is ok for 104/282

Mark Le
A.U. 3617

-----Original Message-----

From: Morano, Samuel
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2005 2:05 PM
To: Le, Mark
Cc: Mullins, Burton
Subject: FW: ASN: 10676726

Mark: This is your digit in 104, please let Mr. Mullins know if it's ok to classify the case in your stuff. Thanks.

Joe

-----Original Message-----

From: Mullins, Burton
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2005 12:17 PM
To: Morano, Samuel
Subject: ASN: 10676726

Mr. Morano, I'd like to OR this allowance in 104/282. It's a Mag-lev train. Best art was from your area. I searched 104/281-284.

There is an examiner's amendment (below) in addition to the 6/10/05 claims. Basically, it's putting in limitation of a substantially planar air gap. Let me know if you'll accept for 104/282.

Thanks,
Burt Mullins
AU2834

In claim 1, line 4, delete "at least one of" and insert -one or more- after "which". On line 6, after "attraction forces" insert -across a substantially planar gap--. On line 8, after "restoring forces" insert -- across said same substantially planar gap--. On lines 8-10, delete "on the vehicle in response...linear synchronous motor". On line 12, insert --across said same substantially planar gap-- and delete "on the vehicle". On lines 13-14 delete "of said linear synchronous motor".

In claim 4, line 4, delete "at least one of" and insert -one or more- after "which". On line 6, after "attraction forces" insert -across a substantially planar gap--. On line 8, after "restoring forces" insert --